

Food Chemistry 66 (1999) 253-255

Food Chemistry

www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem

Analytical, Nutritional and Clinical Methods Section

# Vapor pressure and water activity measurements of saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  at  $20^{\circ}$ C

Yang Kou, Shelly J. Schmidt\*

Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 367 Bevier Hall, 905 South Goodwin Avenue, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

#### Abstract

The water activity  $(a_w)$  values of 10 saturated salt solutions made with D<sub>2</sub>O were measured in this study at 20°C: MgCl<sub>2</sub>,  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $Mg(NO_3)_2$ , KI, NaCl, KCl, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, BaCl<sub>2</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, and  $K_2SO_4$ . These salts were selected because they represent a wide range of water activity values, from approximately 0.33 to 0.98. The  $a<sub>w</sub>$  values were measured using two methods: (1) a vapor pressure manometer, and (2) an electronic chilled-mirror (AquaLab) instrument. The  $a_w$  values obtained by the manometer method were slightly, but consistently, higher than the  $a_w$  values obtained by the electronic chilled-mirror instrument. The  $a_w$ values of the saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  (as measured by both methods) were also slightly, but consistently, higher than the  $a_w$  values of the same saturated salt solutions made with H<sub>2</sub>O at 20°C.  $\odot$  1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Hygrometer calibration and material testing and conditioning often require the accurate control of humidity (Greenspan, 1977). The common methods of accurately controlling the humidity use either a humidity generator or the equilibration of a closed space with a chemical system which produces the desired equilibrium vapor pressure at a specified and constant temperature.

An especially robust method of humidity control by a chemical system is the use of binary saturated aqueous solutions (i.e. composed of a single solute and water) in which the solute is highly non-volatile, such as salts. Saturated aqueous salt solutions containing excess salt crystals are often used in the construction of isotherms for food and food ingredients (Labuza, 1984). In general, the equilibrium relative humidity (equal to water activity,  $a_w$ , divided by 100) of these saturated aqueous salt solutions decreases with increasing temperature. The excess salt crystals help to maintain the saturated aqueous salt solutions at a constant  $a_w$  during sample equilibration, where small amounts of water may be transferred from the sample to the solution. Due to the

ease of its use, this is a popular method of humidity control (Greenspan, 1977; Stokes & Robinson, 1949). There are a wide variety of methods which can be used to determine the water vapor (or  $a_w$ ) in equilibrium with the saturated aqueous salt solutions. These methods include the direct measurement of the vapor pressure, dew point measurement, isopiestic vapor pressure measurement, relative vapor pressure measurement, measurement with a calibrated humidity sensor, and gravimetric determination (Greenspan, 1977; Spiess & Wolf, 1987; Troller, 1989).

This study was undertaken because of the lack of literature  $a_w$  values for saturated aqueous salt solutions made with  $D_2O$ , which are often used to equilibrate samples for deuterium  $(^{2}H)$  nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy experiments (Richardson, Baianu, & Steinberg, 1987; Schmidt, 1990; Schmidt & Lai, 1991). The objectives of this study were: (1) to measure the  $a_w$  values of 10 saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  at 20°C (MgCl<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, KI, NaCl, KCl,  $ZnSO_4$ ,  $BaCl_2$ ,  $KNO_3$ , and  $K_2SO_4$ ), and (2) to compare the salt/ $D_2O a_w$  values to the  $a_w$  values of the same saturated salt solutions made with  $H_2O$  (salt/  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ . These salt solutions were selected because they represent a wide range of  $a_w$  values, from approximately 0.33 to 0.98. The  $a_w$  values were measured using two methods: (1) a vapor pressure manometer, and (2) an

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-217-333-6369; fax: +1-217-244- 7877; e-mail: sjs@uiuc.edu

electronic chilled-mirror (AquaLab) instrument. The vapor pressure manometric method gives a direct measure of the vapor pressure exerted by the sample. The  $a_w$ is calculated from the ratio of the vapor pressure of the sample to that of pure water or  $D_2O$  at the same temperature. The AquaLab is an electric hygrometer, which uses the chilled-mirror dew point technique to measure the  $a_w$  of a sample (Spiess & Wolf, 1987; Troller, 1989; Roa & Tapia de Daza, 1991). For the interested reader, research comparing the use of different water activity methods is given by Labuza et al. (1976).

### 2. Materials and methods

Ten saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  were tested in this study:  $MgCl_2$ ,  $K_2CO3$ ,  $Mg(NO_3)_2$ , KI, NaCl, KCl,  $ZnSO<sub>4</sub>$ , BaCl<sub>2</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The salts (Analytical Reagent Grade, AR) were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Saturated salt solutions (slurries) were prepared by mixing individual salt crystals in  $D_2O$ (99.8%, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) with constant stirring. Salt crystals were added to the  $D_2O$  until the solution was saturated, i.e. no more salt would dissolve. In addition, excess salt crystals were added to ensure that the saturated salt solutions would remain saturated throughout the experiment. Then, the saturated salt solutions with crystals were placed into individual proximity equilibration cells (Lang, McCune, & Steinberg, 1981) and kept at a constant temperature of  $20^{\circ}$ C in a CMS Equatherm Low Temperature incubator (Curtin Matherson Scientific Inc., Houston, TX, USA) until the vapor pressure and water activity measurements were made.

A simple U-type mercury manometer (Thamas Instruments, Philadelphia, PA, USA), equipped with a coolflow refrigerated recirculator (model CFT-25, Neslab Instruments Inc., Newington, NH, USA) and a vacuum pump, was used to directly measure the vapor pressure of the saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$ at  $20 \pm 0.5$ °C. About 5 g of each saturated salt solution was placed into a 25-ml sample flask and sealed to the manometer. The sample flask was water-jacketed to ensure constant temperature control. The air space in the manometer was evacuated using the vacuum pump. Two thermometers, one in the water jacketed sample flask and one in the air hanging from the top of the sample flask, were used to monitor the temperature during the experiment. The system was equilibrated at constant temperature until the manometer mercury showed no change in height, usually taking 30–60 min. The accuracy in reading the difference in the two manometer legs was  $\pm 0.1$  mmHg. The vapor pressure values for  $H_2O$  (distilled-deionized, 17.25 mmHg) and  $D_2O$  (15.00 mmHg) at 20 $^{\circ}$ C were used to calibrate the manometer. These vapor pressure values were obtained

from Lide (1997-98). Also, a NaCl/H<sub>2</sub>O saturated salt solution with salt crystals (0.755  $a_w$  at 20°C, Greenspan, 1977) was used to check the accuracy of the manometric method. When the vapor pressure of each saturated salt solution made with  $D<sub>2</sub>O$  was measured, the vapor pressure value was divided by the vapor pressure of pure  $D_2O$  at the same temperature to obtain the water activity value.

An AquaLab (Model CX-2 instrument, Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) water activity meter was also used in this study to measure the  $a_w$  of the saturated salt solutions made with both  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$ at  $20^{\circ}$ C. To maintain the desired  $20^{\circ}$ C temperature, the AquaLab water activity meter was placed in a CMS Equatherm Low Temperature incubator (Curtin Matherson Scientific Inc., Houston, TX, USA) and the  $a_w$ measurements taken inside the incubator at a constant temperature of  $20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. The temperature of the incubator was measured using a standard lab thermometer. Each measurement took approximately  $10-15$ min to reach equilibrium. The NaCl/ $H_2O$  saturated salt solution with salt crystals (0.755  $a_w$  at 20°C, Greenspan, 1977) was used to calibrate the AquaLab instrument. The  $a_w$  values of the salt/H<sub>2</sub>O saturated salt solutions were measured for comparison purposes.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The vapor pressure of the NaCl/H<sub>2</sub>O saturated solution used to check the accuracy of the vapor pressure manometric method was found to be 13.00 mmHg at 20°C. The corresponding  $a_w$  value was 0.754 (13.00 mmHg divided by the vapor pressure of pure water, 17.25 mmHg), which is very close to the literature value of  $0.755 \pm 0.001$  at  $20^{\circ}$ C reported by Greenspan (1977).

The vapor pressure (manometric method) and  $a_w$ (AquaLab) values measured in this study for the saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$  at 20 $^{\circ}$ C are given in Table 1. The results indicate that the measured  $a_w$  values using the vapor pressure manometric method are similar to the measured  $a_w$  values using the AquaLab water activity meter for the same saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  at 20 $\degree$ C (Table 1). However, the manometric method consistently yielded slightly lower  $a_w$  values compared to the  $a_w$  values obtained using the AquaLab water activity meter. The average difference between the two methods was calculated to be  $-0.0055$ . A paired *t*-test (two-tailed) showed that the  $a_w$  values obtained by the two methods were significantly different at the 0.0001 level. However, the  $a<sub>w</sub>$  values obtained by the two methods are probably not scientifically different, since  $a_w$  is usually only measurable to within  $\pm 0.005$ .

In general, for both measurement methods, the measured  $a_w$  values of the saturated salt solutions made





<sup>a</sup>  $P_{\text{AVG}}$ , average vapor pressure value obtained using the manometric method;<br>
<sup>b</sup>  $a_w$  calc., calculated  $a_w$  value from the ratio of  $P_{\text{AVG}}$  of the sample to  $P_{\text{D}_2\text{O}}$ , where  $P_{\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 15.0$  mmHg at

<sup>f</sup> McCune, Lang, & Steinberg (1981).

with  $D_2O$  were higher than the measured  $a_w$  values of the saturated salt solutions made with  $H_2O$  using the AquaLab water activity meter (Table 1). Specific comparisons of the salt/D<sub>2</sub>O  $a_w$  values to the salt/H<sub>2</sub>O  $a_w$ values for the same saturated salt solutions are as follows. The measured  $a_w$  values of the saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  are higher than the measured  $a<sub>w</sub>$  values of the same saturated salt solutions made with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  using the AquaLab water activity meter for all saturated salt solutions measured, with an average difference over all salt solutions  $(\Delta a_w^1 = a_w \text{ Aqual}_ab)$  $H_2O-a_w$  AquaLab D<sub>2</sub>O) of  $-0.0185\pm0.0095$ . When the  $a_w$  values of the saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  are compared to the literature  $a_w$  values of the saturated salt solutions made with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\Delta a_w^2 = a_w$  literature H<sub>2</sub>O- $a_w$  AquaLab D<sub>2</sub>O=-0.0154 ± 0.0108), the  $a_w$  values of the saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  using both methods are higher, except for the  $a_w$ values for three samples (i.e.  $K_2SO_4$ ,  $ZnSO_4$  and  $Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ ) obtained using the vapor pressure manometric method  $(\Delta a_w^3 = a_w$  Literature H<sub>2</sub>O- $a_w$  calc.  $D_2O=-0.0099\pm0.0135$ .

The difference in the  $a_w$  values between the same saturated salt solutions made with  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$  may be due to the difference between the vapor pressure of pure H<sub>2</sub>O (17.25 mmHg) or D<sub>2</sub>O (15.00 mmHg) and/or the different solubility of same salts in pure  $H_2O$  or  $D_2O$ at the same temperature.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor Dana D. Dlott of the Chemistry Department for the use of his laboratory facility.

#### References

- Greenspan, L. (1977). Humidity fixed points of binary saturated aqueous solutions. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards-A. Physics and Chemistry, 81A, 89-96.
- Labuza, T. P. (1984). Moisture Sorption: practical aspects of isotherm measurement and use. St. Paul, Minnesota: American Association of Cereal Chemists.
- Labuza, T. P., Acott, K. A., Tatini, S. R., Lee, R. Y., Flink, J., & McCall, W. (1976). Water activity determination—a collaborative study of different methods. Journal of Food Science, 41, 910-917.
- Lang, K. W., McCune, T. D., & Steinberg, M. P. (1981). A proximity equilibration cell for rapid determination of sorption isotherms. Journal of Food Science, 46, 936-938.
- Lide, D. R. (1997–98). Handbook of chemistry and physics (78th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- McCune, T. D., Lang, K. W., & Steinberg, M. P. (1981). Water activity determination with the proximity equilibration cell. Journal of Food Science, 46, 1978-1979.
- Richardson, S. J., Baianu, I. C., & Steinberg, M. P. (1987). Mobility of water in sucrose solutions determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance measurements. Journal of Food Science, 52, 806-809.
- Roa, V., & Tapia de Daza, M. S. (1991). Evaluation of water activity measurements with a dew point electronic humidity meter. New York: Academic Press.
- Schmidt, S. J. R. (1990). Characterization of water in foods by NMR. In J. W. Finley, S. J. Schmidt, & A. Seriaanni (Eds.), NMR applications in biopolymers (pp. 415-459). New York: Plenum Press.
- Schmidt, S. J., & Lai, H. (1991). Use of NMR and MRI to study water relations in foods. In H. Levine, & L. Slade (Eds.), Water relationships in foods (pp. 405-452). New York: Plenum Press.
- Spiess, W. E. L., & Wolf, W. (1987). Critical evaluation of methods to determine moisture sorption isotherms. In L. B. Rockland, & L. R. Beuchat (Eds.), Water activity: theory and applications to food (pp. 215-233). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Stokes, R. H., & Robinson, R. A. (1949). Standard solutions for humidity control at 25C. Industrial Engineering Chemistry, 41, 2013±2015.
- Troller, J. A. (1989). Water activity and food quality. In T. M. Hardman (Ed.), Water and food quality (pp. 1-31). New York: Elsevier Applied Science.